UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,739	03/22/2005	Francois Seneschal	FR02 0098 US	6985

65913 7590 02/12/2008	EXAMINER
NXP, B.V. NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131	CHAN, RICHARD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2618	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/12/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

<table>
<tr>
<td rowspan="2">

Office Action Summary</td>
<td>Application No.
10/528,739</td>
<td>Applicant(s)
SENESCHAL ET AL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examiner
Richard Chan</td>
<td>Art Unit
2618</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</table>

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *20 November 2007*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-9* is/are pending in the application.

   4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-9* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

   a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

   1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

   2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

   3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

   * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
     Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
     Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1.   A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/20/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2.   Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.   Claims 1-3, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Jacobs (US 4,573,208) in view of Kinkel (US 4,855,689) and in further view of

Dimitrijevic (US 4,932,075)

        With respect to claim 1, Jacobs discloses the device Fig.4 for determining the

level of an input signal from antenna 132 intended to be applied to a receiving system,

said receiving system comprising arranged in series a set of discrete gain amplifiers 134

and 148, a selective filter 140, a mixer 136, said receiving system being intended to

deliver an output signal from output of amplifier 150, said device comprising: measuring

means 210 for measuring the level of said output signal in a given frequency channel,

means 210 for determining the real gain of said set of amplifiers 134 and 148 in said

given frequency channel, however Jacobs does not specifically disclose means for

determining the real gain of said selective filter in said given frequency channel,

calculation means for deriving a digital measure of the level of the input signal from the

level of the output signal, the real gain of said set of amplifiers and from the real gain of

said selective filter.

        The Kinkel reference however discloses wherein filter 69 in Fig.2 employs an

operational amplifier 75, which controls the gain of the filtered signal. (Col.6 lines 40-55)

        It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the

filter with an amplifier to control the gain of the filtered signal through the receiver

system as disclosed by Jacob in order to obtain the correct gain for incoming signal.

However, neither reference specifically discloses wherein the calculation means derives a digital measure of the level of the input signal from the level of the output signal.

In related art, the Dimitrijevic reference teachers wherein a A/D memory 132, 134, and 136 combined with controller 50 which inputs (Col.9 line 64-Col.10 line 7). The A/D memory input signals outputted from power detection circuit 60, which in turns derives a digital measure of the input received signal. The digital signal is then processed by controller 50.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to impalement the ADC circuitry as disclosed by Dimitrijevic in order to translate the measured signal from an analog to a digital circuit in order for the measurement to be processed by a power control processor to the device as taught by Jacobs and Kinkel.

With respect to claim 2, Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the device as claimed in claim 1, Kinkel continues to disclose where the real gain of said selective filter is given by a set of equations defined by a set of coefficients depending on said frequency channel. The resistors and capacitors set the coefficients depending on their value the frequency of the incoming signal, which than defines the gain of the amplifier 75. (Col.6 lines 40-55) and Claim 6

With respect to claim 3 Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the device as
claimed in claim 2, Jacobs continues to disclose the device comprising additional
means 174 for averaging the level of said output signal. Col.7 lines 38-60

With respect to claim 7, Jacobs discloses the method for determining the level of
an input signal from antenna 132 intended to be applied to a receiving system Fig.4 said
receiving system comprising arranged in series a set of discrete gain amplifiers 134 and
148, a selective filter 140, a mixer 136, said receiving system being intended to deliver
an output signal from amplifier 150, said method comprising: a measuring step for
measuring the level of said output signal in a given frequency channel, a processing
step 210 for determining the real gain of said set of amplifiers 134 and 148 in said given
frequency channel, a first calculation step (204) for determining the real gain (G2) of
said selective filter 140 in said given frequency channel, however Jacobs does not
specifically disclose a second calculation step for deriving the level of the input signal
from the level of the output signal, from the real gain of said set of amplifiers and from
the real gain of said selective filter.

The Kinkel reference however discloses wherein filter 69 in Fig.2 employs an
operational amplifier 75, which controls the gain of the filtered signal. (Col.6 lines 40-55)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the
filter with an amplifier to control the gain of the filtered signal through the receiver
system as disclosed by Jacob in order to obtain the correct gain for incoming signal.

However, neither reference specifically discloses wherein the calculation means derives a digital measure of the level of the input signal from the level of the output signal.

In related art, the Dimitrijevic reference teachers wherein a A/D memory 132, 134, and 136 combined with controller 50 which inputs (Col.9 line 64-Col.10 line 7). The A/D memory input signals outputted from power detection circuit 60, which in turns derives a digital measure of the input received signal. The digital signal is then processed by controller 50.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to impalement the ADC circuitry as disclosed by Dimitrijevic in order to translate the measured signal from an analog to a digital circuit in order for the measurement to be processed by a power control processor to the device as taught by Jacobs and Kinkel.

With respect to claim 8, Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the receiving box for multimedia signals, or modem comprising a device as claimed in claim 1.

With respect to claim 9, Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the signal generated by the method as claimed in claim 7, said signal indicating the level of the input signal with comparator 210.

5.   Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs (US 4,573,208) and Kinkel (US 4,855,689) in view of Narumi (US 6,118,811).

With respect to claim 4, Jacobs and Kinkel combined disclose the device as claimed in claim 3, however neither references specifically discloses the device comprising additional means for rounding the level of said input signal to the nearest half value.

However Narumi discloses the device 122 comprising additional means for rounding the level of said input signal to the nearest half value. Fig.1

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement an analog to digital converter as disclosed by Narumi with the device that determines the input signal as disclosed by Jacobs and Kinkel combined in order to obtain a digital signal of the reading of the analog input signal which can than be processed by a DSP.

With respect to claim 5, Jacobs, Kinkel, and Narumi combined disclose the device as claimed in claim 4, Kinkel continues to disclose where the real gain of said set of amplifiers is given by a look-up table with two inputs TABLE 1 Col.13, a first input corresponding to said given frequency channel, a second input corresponding to the nominal gain of said amplifiers.

With respect to claim 6, Jacobs, Kinkel, and Narumi combined disclose the device as claimed in claim 5, Kinkel continues to disclose where said measuring means comprise arranged in series a selective filter 36 for selecting said given frequency channel, a

logarithmic detector 102, Fig.3 and an analog-to-digital converter 122 for delivering the level of said output signal in said given frequency channel.

Conclusion

6.   Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0570. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571)272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Chan
Art Division 2618

1/30/08

NAY MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER